	United States Dis	STRICT COURT
	District of	Delaware
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	Rafael Jose Santiago Case	PROPERTY OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Defendant ecordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention heat of the defendant pending trial in this case.	
	Part I—Findings	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 314 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment of an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year	e giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is r death.
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendar A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that n safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the	it was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. Conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
	Alternative Findin	gs (A)
.,	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding I	s prescribed in 21 USC § 841
	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	unity.
	Alternative Findin	gs (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: Defendant is charged with knowingly distributing cocaine. Defendant was born in Puerto Rico where his parents and two brothers still reside. One brother is presently incarcerated in a DE facility. He has a sister who lives in DE and an ex-wife and children residing in this state. Defendant has worked at New Creations (barber shop) for 4 years, with the last two as the owner. Most past violations are for speeding or other traffic related offenses.

Regarding the nature of the offense, defendant was found with a loaded firearm and the drugs. Defendant did not contest detention at this time but reserved the right to do so in the future which was granted. In light of the present charge and the fact that a weapon was also found on or near his person, the court finds that there are no conditions or combination thereof that will reasonably assure the safety of the community.



Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).